CHAPTER

Costs for Decision Making

16

M16.1.

Relevant cost analysis:	
Incremental selling price (\$40 - \$36)	\$ 4.00
Incremental costs of further processing for material and labor	<u>(4.40)</u>
[(\$10 + \$12) * 20%]	
Incremental Loss.	<u>\$ (0.40)</u>

No, the new production changes should not be implemented and the product should be produced and sold as it is currently.

M16.2.

Relevant cost analysis:

Revenue		\$ 28.00
Direct materials	\$ 10.00	
Direct labor	12.00	
Variable overhead	5.00	
Fixed overhead	0.00	(27.00)
Contribution margin per unit		\$ 1.00
Additional units sold if special order is accepted		8,000
Increase in contribution margin and operating income		<u>\$8,000</u>

Yes, the offer should be accepted because the "relevant cost" of \$27 is less than the \$30 selling price for the special order, thus increasing both contribution margin and operating income by \$1.00 per unit. Fixed overhead cost is not relevant because Lakeside has current unused capacity. However, pertinent qualitative factors should also be considered.

M16.3.

	Current			
	Production	Avoida	ble	Cost
Relevant cost analysis:	Costs	Cost if Pur	chased	to Buy
Manufacturing costs:				
Direct material	\$10.00		\$0.00	
Direct labor	12.00	(30%)	3.60	
Variable overhead	5.00	(30%)	1.50	
Fixed overhead	5.00		0.00	
Total cost per unit	<u>\$32.00</u>		<u>\$ 5.10</u>	
Purchase costs:				
Conversion processing				<u>\$4.00</u>
Advantage to buy				<u>\$1.10</u>

Lakeside should consider outsourcing this part of the conversion processing because contribution margin and operating would increase by the \$1.10 per unit savings. However, pertinent qualitative factors should also be considered.

E16.11.

Differential cost analysis:	
Incremental selling price (\$36 - \$29)	\$ 7
Incremental costs of further processing	<u>(8)</u>
(\$40,000 / 5,000 gallons)	
Incremental Profit	<u>\$(1)</u>

No, the basic compound should be sold as is for \$29 per gallon. Further processing will result in a decrease in profits of \$5,000 (5,000 gallons x \$1 incremental loss).

E16.12.

a.	Tons	
Alpha production:	<u>350,000</u>	
Delta product yield (350,000 x 60%)	<u>210,000</u>	
Pi product yield (350,000 x 40%)		<u>140,000</u>
Differential cost analysis – Super Delta:		
Incremental selling price (\$12 - \$6)	\$ 6	
Incremental costs of further processing (\$1,680,000 / 210,000 tons)	<u>(8)</u>	
Incremental Profit	<u>\$(2)</u>	
Differential cost analysis – Precision Pi:		
Incremental selling price (\$25 - \$15)		\$10
Incremental costs of further processing (\$1,120,000 / 140,000 tons)		<u>(8)</u>
Incremental Profit		<u>\$ 2</u>

Delta should be sold as is for \$6 per ton and Pi should be processed further and sold as Precision Pi for \$25 per ton.

b. The \$675,000 cost incurred to produce the Alpha ore is a sunk cost and is not relevant to the decision to sell Delta or Pi as is or process either product further.

c. Sales:

Delta (\$6 x 210,000 tons)	\$1,260,000	
Precision Pi (\$25 x 140,000 tons)	3,500,000	\$4,760,000
Production costs:		
Alpha ore mining costs	\$ 675,000	
Processing Pi into Precision Pi		1,795,000
Maximum profit		<u>\$2,965,000</u>

E16.17.

	Current	Avoidable	
	Production	Cost if	
	Costs	Purchased	Cost to Buy
Manufacturing costs:	_		_
Direct material	\$ 160	\$ 160	
Direct labor	80	80	
Variable overhead (\$80 x 20%)	16	16	
Fixed overhead (\$80 x 80%)	<u>64</u>	0	
Total cost per unit	<u>\$ 320</u>	<u>\$ 256</u>	
Purchase costs:			
Engine assembly part sets			<u>\$ 270</u>
Advantage to make		<u>\$ 14</u>	

Lakeview Engine, Inc. should continue to produce the engine part sets because the costs they can avoid by buying the part sets are less than the outside purchase cost.